# Stone Matrix Asphalt's Response to Recycled Low-Density Polyethylene and Waste Paper Fiber

# **Suhail Firdous**

M.Tech, Department of Civil Engineering SIRT-S, Bhopal Received 01 March 2023; Accepted 13 March 2023

#### Abstract

This study examines the influence of recycled low-density polyethylene (R-LDPE) polymer and waste-paper fiber (w-PF) on the performance of binder and stone matrix asphalt (SMA) mixes. Individually and collectively, the impact of R-LDPE and W-PF on the volumetric (bulk density, air voids, voids in mineral aggregate, and asphalt-filled voids) and mechanical qualities was examined (Marshall stability, flow and Marshall modulus). To increase asphalt mixture performance, 0.3%, 0.5%, and 0.7% of W-PF fibers were added to SMA mixes while 3% of R-LDPE was added. Including both R-LDPE and w- PF into asphalt binder has a good influence on mixture performance, albeit to varying degrees. Collective modifiers have enhanced air voids, voids in mineral aggregate, Marshall stability, and marshal modulus at 3.3% MC (mix collective additives) by 15%, 3%, 42%, and 70%, respectively, compared to control SMA. The data also indicate a reduction of 0.6% in bulk density, 4% in asphalt-filled voids, and 49% in flow, respectively. This suggests that the utilization of waste and recycled materials, particularly when combined, offers a sustainable method for stabilizing asphalt binder in SMA mixes.

**Keywords:** Marshall stability; modified asphalt binder; recycled low-density polyethylene, stone matrix asphalt; volumetric properties; waste paper fiber.

#### I. Introduction

In the 1960s, Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) compositions were created in Germany. Split mastic asphalt is also known as Stone Mastic Asphalt in Europe and Stone Matrix Asphalt in the United States. These asphalt mixtures were designed to provide a mixture with the greatest resistance to studded tire wear. SMA is a gap-graded asphalt mixture designed to increase rutting resistance, durability and improved resistance to reflective cracking when compared to dense-graded mixes. The structure's composition of SMA consists of three parts: a coarse aggregate skeleton, a mastic, and air voids, as can be seen in Figure 1. However, there is a fourth part that can be considered, namely, stabilizer materials. Stone-on-stone contact is provided by the coarse aggregate skeleton, resulting in high deformation resistance. The mastic is made up of fine aggregates, filler, and a significant amount of binder.

SMA offers numerous benefits, including high rutting resistance, excellent low-temperature performance, improved macrotexture, long service life, low tire noise, less water spray from tires, and poor light reflection on rainy nights. SMA is typically composed of 70-80% coarse aggregate, 8-12% filler material, and 6-8% binder. Because of the gap graded nature of the mixture and the high amount of binder, drain down of asphalt binder has been regarded as the main problem in constructing and placing this type of asphalt mixture. To prevent this problem, the use of some additives may be necessary. Fibers and polymers are the two most common types of additives found to improve these mixtures. Polyester, mineral, and cellulose fibers are some examples of fibers. The incorporation of fibers into a binder or bituminous mixtures ensures their mechanical strength and stability. A sufficient amount of fibers alters the properties of the asphalt, reduces penetration and increases softening point, it also changes the viscoelasticity state of the bitumen . Furthermore, adding fibers to asphalt concrete leads to an increase in its dynamic modulus, which strengthens the mastic and decreases its thermal susceptibility as well, improving material strength, fatigue behavior, and ductility . Moreover, fibers reduce binder drainage while increasing moisture sensitivity and compressive strength in SMA mixtures. In contrast, a polymer is frequently used in asphalt mixtures to significantly improve mechanical properties, decreasing binder drain down. Based on the designer's vision, various polymers (such as styrene-butadienestyrene (SBS), ethylene-vinyl-acetate (EVA), polyethylene, or polypropylene) may be used to achieve the desired mixture properties .

However, reviewing previous research on the effect of polymers and fibers on the performance of SMA mixtures disclosed: Al-Hadidy and Yi-qiu [28] investigated the effect of Low-density polyethylene (LDPE) on SMA mixtures, followed by laboratory tests such as Marshall, moisture sensitivity, and low-temperature cracking to evaluate the performance of constructed SMA samples. Their findings indicate that

LDPE could effectively reduce binder drain down and improve the properties of asphalt binder as well as SMA mixtures; 6 % LDPE was found to be the best dosage for constructing SMA mixtures. Kumar et al. studied SMA mixtures that were enriched with various fibers (natural and synthetic) and crumb rubber. According to his findings, natural fiber was comparably better than patented fiber in Marshall stability, rutting, and flexural fatigue tests; however, natural fiber resulted in a better condition in terms of asphalt concrete ageing. Furthermore, crumb rubber demonstrated greater efficiency in improving the properties of SMA compared to the fibers. Tayfur et al. investigated the rutting performance of SMA mixtures modified with variousadditives such as amorphous polyalphaolefin, cellulose fiber, polyolefin, bituminous cellulose fiber, and styrene-butadiene-styrene. The main findings of this study were that SBS had the highest resistance to permanent deformation and fibers had the least improving effect among different used additives. Behbahani et al. constructed SMA mixtures using various fibers. According to this study, German cellulose fiber had a greater effect on the properties of SMA mixtures than Iranian cellulose and mineral fibers. Pasandín et al, Chew et al. studied the influence of Paper fiber on properties of asphalt mixture, they concluded that using these materials as fillers increases the viscosity of asphalt mastics, Marshall stability, and the stiffness modulus, as well as improving the resistance to rutting of asphalt mixtures by increasing the adhesion between asphalt binder and aggregate.

In the design and preparation of SMA mixtures, the volumetric parameters are the direct controlling indicators. The air voids percentage in mineral aggregate for the bituminous mixtures (VMA) were determined early in the hot-mix-asphalt (HMA) mix design procedure and were maintained throughout the mix-design procedure. The volume occupied by the effective asphalt content and the air voids is included in the VMA. This volumetric property is related to mechanical properties for example, a low percentage of air voids in bituminous mixtures (VV) will cause bleeding, whereas a high VV will cause water damage or instability in asphalt pavement. In addition size gradation, VMA is one of the most important HMA design criteria for achieving long-term pavement durability, and it has a significant impact on the permanent deformation and fatigue performance of a compacted mix . The use of VMA criteria for mix design is a time-honoured and relatively successful technique. VMA requirements for HMA mixtures were first developed in the 1950s and were regarded as one of the most important volumetric parameters for HMA and SMAmixtures. Other VMA influence factors, such as aggregate factors and volumetric basis, were then highlighted, and VMA specifications were strongly emphasized during the asphalt mixture design and analysis process.

The primary goal of this research is to conduct a laboratory investigation on SMA mixtures containing recycled polymers and waste fibers, following a volumetric and mechanical approach to determine the effect of different additives on the SMA mix performance.

# II. Materials

## Asphalt

The bitumen used in this study was supplied from the Al-Nasiriya refinery plant. Its penetration grade is 40/50 that is commonly adopted in the middle and south of Iraq. The physical properties of neat asphalt (B0) are represented in Table 1.

property	specification	amount	SCRB requirements
Penetration, 25°C, 0.1mm	ASTM D5-D5M [42]	42.8	40-50
The softening point, °C	ASTM D36-95 [43]	44	-
Ductility, 25°C, cm	ASTM D113-07 [44]	143	>100
Flash point, °C	ASTM D92-05 [45]	355	>232
Specific gravity	ASTM D70-09 [46]	1.03	-
Rotational viscosity	ASTM D4402 [47]	860	≤ 3000
	LOSS ON HEAT		
Penetration aging index (PAI)	ASTM D1754/D1754M [48]	0.76	-
Softening point index (SPI)	[49], [50]	4.6	-

## Table 1 Physical properties of neat (B0).

# Aggregate

The aggregate used to fabricate SMA mixtures were crushed limestone aggregate that was supplied from Karbala quarries. This type of aggregate is widely used in the asphalt paving industry, both nationally and locally. the physical properties and gradation of aggregate used are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. The gradation was selected to satisfy the requirements of AASHTO M325 in terms of ensuring the voids in coarse aggregate of the compacted mixture (VCA mix) and the voids in coarse aggregate in dry rodded condition (VCADRC).

Sieve (mm)	Size (in)	%Percent passing	% of Passing/ Selected Gradation
19.0 mm	[3/4 in.]	100	100
12.5 mm	[1/2 in.]	90 - 100	93
9.5 mm	[3/8 in.]	50 - 80	60
4.75 mm	[No. 4]	20 - 35	25
2.36 mm	[No. 8]	16 – 24	18
0.075 mm	[No. 200]	8-11	9

## Table 2 Gradation of SMA mixture as recommended by

		Doculto			
Aggregate's properties testing	ASTM Specifications	Results		requirements	
		coarse	Fine	coarse	Fine
Bulk specific gravity of coarseaggregate(gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	C127 [53]	2.59		-	
The bulk specific gravity of fine aggregate (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	C128 [53]		2.641		-
Water absorption of coarse aggregate (%)	C127 [53]	2.25		5 max	
Water absorption of fine aggregate (%)	C128 [53]		2.41		
Los Angeles abrasion value (%)	C131 [54]	25.5		30max	
percentage of fractured particles in one side, %	D5821 [55]	100		100	
percentage of fractured particles in two sides, %	D5821 [55]	95			90

# Table 3 Physical properties of coarse and fine aggregates.

# **Mineral filler**

The mineral filler's role is primarily to harden the rich binder of SMA. A firm mastic with bitumen fastener and settling added substance is intended to fill voids and improve structure. It strengthens the blend's attachment, resulting in a significant increase in shear strength. The high level of filler may cause the blend to solidify unnecessarily, making it difficult to deficit to mix and possibly resulting in a split of the weak blend. When all is said and done, the amount of material passing through the 0.075 mm sifter accounts for 8–12% of the total amount of material in the blend. Inthis study two types of fillers were used in a compound form: one is Conventional Mineral Filler (CMF) and the other is Hydrated Lime (HL). The CMF generally represents the remaining material on the pan after the screening process of the used aggregate. While HL had been gotten from the Furat Lime Plant, Karbala. An amount of 1.5% from total aggregate weight was adopted from HL asa filler in this study as recommended by GSRB R9 specification [52]; Fillers properties are displayedin Table 4.

Table 4 Physical and chemical properties of used inters.									
	Chemical properties								
Eillertype Oxide/ Concentration					Physical prope	rties			
i mertype	Sio2	Al2O3	Fe2O3	CaO	MgO	K2O	Na2O	Density (g/cm3)	Surface area (m2/Kg)
CMF	81.89	3.78	1.92	7.37	3.45	0.73	0.19	2.650	223
HL	0.89		2.25	90.58	3.60	0.58	1.00	2.300	1240

# Table 4 Physical and chemical properties of used fillers.

\* Chemical and physical tests of fillers were conducted in the University of Technology/ Materials Engineering Department

#### Stabilizing additives

Stabilizing additive must be used to keep the binder in the SMA mix during mixing, transporting, and placement operations. To prevent the unacceptable drain down, fibers or polymersas stabilizing additives can be added to the mixture in the current study, recycled low-densitypolyethylene (R-LDPE) and waste paper fiber (W-PF) are used as stabilizing additives. These two materials are described in detail below.

#### **Recycled -Low-Density Polyethylene (R-LDPE)**

LDPE is a type of polyethylene material that comes from the polymerization process of ethylene that is forming a long chain from one monomer it is the main content of nowadays facilities, such as goods bags. R-LDPE is characterized by its availability and low cost, as can get itfrom the recycling of bags of domestic goods after becoming a solid waste. The use of R-LDPE hasbeen found to aid in the reduction of problems associated with deformations due to its unstable crystallization property, which leads to an improvement in asphalt rigidity. In general, the use of such materials aids in the reduction of cracking at high and low temperatures, the improvement of mixture hardness, the increase of mixture fatigue resistance, and the reduction of water damage problems. The used R-LDPE in this study was supplied from recycled materials obtained from a small factory in Karbala where the shopping bags are recycled, the form of R-LDPE, andTable 5 shows the physical properties of the R-LDPE modifier supplied by the same plant.

Properties	Amount		
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.91		
Tensile strength (MPa)	8.5		
Tensile elongation (%)	>350		
Melting temperature (°C)	110		
Flexural modulus (MPa)	7.2		
Hardness shore D	45		

Table 1 Physical properties of waste- low-density polyethylene (R-LDPE).

## Waste-Paper Fiber (W-PF)

W-PF represents a type of cellulose fibers, comes from the recycling process of the waste papers thatare widespread locally. Therefore, it is suggested as a way to sustainability, which helps in reducing the pollution problems of environmental and human health. The presence contains high content of CaO and SiO<sub>2</sub>, (Table 6) which increase the stiffening rate resulting in stiffer mastics which allows strengthening of the aggregate-binder bond and improve effective strength properties of asphalt mixture.

Table 6 Chemical Analysis of W-PF		
Chemical compositions	(%)	
CaO	37.35	
SiO2	5.011	
MgO	0.696	
Al2O3	3.431	
P2O5	0.0443	
SO3	0.1869	
Cl	0.0420	
K2O	0.0740	
TiO2	0.126	
MnO	0.0126	
Fe2O3	0.3959	
CuO	0.0181	
ZnO	0.0339	
SrO	0.0308	

ZrO <sub>2</sub>	0.0165
LOI	52.52

# **III. Experimental Work**

#### **Preparation of Modified Asphalt**

In this study, three modified asphalt binders were prepared: the first, bycombining neat binder with 3% R-LDPE individually, the second with three dosages of W-PF individually, where the third with 3% R-LDPE and three dosages of W-PF collectively. The three dosages of W-PF were 0.3%, 0.5%, and 0.7% by total weight of bitumen were adopted here as used by previous studies. It is worth mentioning that the AASHTO M325 recommended more than 0.3% by the total mix when the dry mixing process is used, however, this study confirms the validity of lower dosage when the wet mixing process is adopted. While for R-LDPE, one dosage of 3% by total weight of bitumen was used because it has been remarked as the better dosage by other researchers like Al-Busaltan et al. Mahdy et al. , Abduljabbar et al. The third modification way is done by using the Mix Contents (MC) from the aforementioned modifiers with dosages equal to 3.3 %, 3.5 %, and 3.7 %. The preparation begins by heating the B0 (neat asphalt) in an oven at 170 °C for one hour to make it fluid enough for mixing then the modifiers are added gradually, then for one hour, a shear mixer with a3000-rpm rate was used to prepare the modified binders

#### **Volumetric Properties**

Volumetric properties must be determined because they are fundamental standards in the mix design. AASHTO R46 and AASHTO T166 were used to calculate volumetric properties such as the compacted mixture's bulk specific gravity (Gmb), air voids (Va), voids in mineral aggregate (VMA), and voids filled with asphalt (VFA).

#### **Marshall Stability and Flow Test**

Marshall stability and flow tests were carried out to determine the resistance of asphalt mixtures to distortion, displacement, retardation, and shear stress following ASTM D6927, the stability testdetermines the maximum load that the specimen can withstand. Essentially, the applied test load is gradually increased, after which the loading is terminated and the maximum load is recorded as Marshall stability, while the vertical associated displacement is recorded as Marshall flow. Moreover, the ratio of Marshall Stability to Marshall flow is calculated as in index named Marshall stiffness.

#### **Bulk Density**

#### **IV. Results and Discussion**

The bulk specific gravity of SMA mixtures with various additives and additive contents is shown in Figure 5. When compared to the control mixture (CM), the density values increased slightlywhen the asphalt was modified with R-LDPE polymer. This return to the network properties of R- LDPE polymer that resulting in more blocked air voids. This could refer to the higher viscosity of asphalt with R-LDPE polymer that leads to a rise in both required mixing and compaction temperatures to achieve 100% aggregate coating. In other words, low viscosity helps in the mix component packing that results in higher density. Ahmad and Technology indicated a result similar to that obtained here.

Moreover, results indicate that the comprising of W-PF further to R-LDPE increase the density of these SMA mixtures. The highest bulk specific gravity values were found in the SMA mixture containing 0.3% W-PF, and the mixture containing 0.7% W-PF had the lowest values. Wherethe bulk specific gravity values were found ranging from 2.287 to 2.257. This behavior is related to the increment of viscosity level with respect to the W-PF content. As the continuous increment will reduce the mixture lubricity and make it stiff, then result in a decrease in the mixture density consequently. Sheng et al. in their study indicated a result similar to that obtained here.

Figure 4 also displays that the use of MC demonstrates the same trend of reduction into density levels with the increment into MC. This behavior is related to the increment of binder viscosity level to the W-PF content. As the continuous increment reduces the mixture lubricity and makes it stiff, then result in a decrease in the mixture density, consequently. Punith and Veeraragavan and Ahmadinia et al. agreed with a similar trend for decreasing mixture density as viscosity increases.

#### Air Voids

Figure 6 shows the amounts of air voids in SMA mixtures with various additives. Results demonstrate that there is a noticeable variation in the level of air voids with the utilization of the different modifiers. Adding

3% R-LDPE resulted in a decrease in the amount of air voids to about 18% compared to the control mixture (B0). This is related to a reduction in the viscosity (as can be seen in a previous study conducted by the authors due to an increase in mixing and compaction temperature to guarantee complete aggregate coating and a reduction in air voids, as a result. As a result, the Marshall Stability value will improve, as stated by Ahmadinia et al.

The SMA mixtures comprising W-PF show a reverse relationship between air voids and paper fiber content. Where the observed results show that the air voids level tend to rise with respect to the rising into W-PF content, and the amount of rising reached 8% after comprising 0.7% W-PF. This potential is due to the porous nature of W-PF that is working on absorbing more lightweight asphalt molecules. Besides, the high viscosity level of asphalt after the incorporation of W-PF (as can be seen in a previous study conducted by the authors which help together increase the amount of air voids consequently. Sheng et al. showed a similar trend for the increase in mixture air void with increasing fiber content.

Furthermore, results display that the usage of the MC between R-LDPE and W-PF show higher increment into air voids level between (20-70) %. This is related to the higher surface area resulting from the comprising of both modifiers (i.e., R-LDPE+W-PF) contacting with asphalt, which leads to high viscosity values. That is work on absorbing more lightweight asphalt then work on increasing the amounts of air voids.

## Voids in the Mineral Aggregate and Voids Filled with Asphalt

In general, the results show that the influence of modifiers has a positive impact on the amounts of VMA in terms of W-PF and MC modified SMA mixtures. Whereas the incorporation of R-LDPE polymer achieves a slight reduction. Where the comprising of W-PF shows slight improvement into VMA values as the increase in its content by no more than 1% at 0.7% W-PF compared to B0 mixture. Besides, the use of MC offers better enhancement reached 11% at 3.7% MC in contrast with the B0 mixture. In terms of R-LDPE modified SMA mixture, the resulted trend attributed to that when adding R-LDPE polymer resulted in more blocked air voids due to its network properties. Meanwhile, the amounts of VFA increased consequently, then this led to an increase in the amount of effective asphalt binder coating aggregate, and as well the VMA was reduced, as seen hereafter due to aggregate packing.

While, in the case of W-PF, the increment into VMA appeared gradually concerning W-PF dosage. As the amount of fiber increased, this lead to absorb more asphalt binders, and as a result, work on decreasing the VFA. Then help to increase the amount of effective binder film coating aggregate, thereafter, the trend of VMA appeared as indicated by Figure (7). The same behavior is offered by the usage of the MC modifier, as the incorporation of the two modifiers causes high asphaltabsorption due to its higher surface area. Then making the amounts of VFA decreased more than the individual case, and as well the VMA increased more as mentioned earlier. in other words, the modifiers work as a stabilizer to the binder. The same pattern was noticed by Ahmad and Technology While, the addition of W-PF and MC show a gradual reduction in the percentage of VFA by about 1% and 12% at 0.7% W-PF and 3.7% MC, respectively. The reduction in the amount of VFA is due to the addition of modifiers, which absorb a portion of the bitumen, increasing its viscosity, and finally reducing the VFA space. However, the comprising of R-LDPE polymer shows a slightly rising of no more than 5% compared to the B0 mixture. This is related to the network properties of polymer that work on blocking more air voids then lead to increase VFA as mentioned earlier.

## **Marshall Stability**

Generally, results show that Marshall Stability (MS) values of the modified SMA mixture appeared high when compared to the control mix. It can be seen that adding 3% R-LDPE results in an increment in MS of about 8% compared to the B0 mixture. This due to the increase in the adhesion characteristics between aggregate-asphalt interface, also increases the cohesion between asphalt molecules as a result of the network reinforcement of polymer. This finding is consistent with that observed by Ahmadinia et al.

Moreover, results show that SMA mixtures containing W-PF give more stability. Where the maximum stability is achieved by adding 0.5% W-PF by about 70% in contrast with the B0 mixture. This return to the increase of the adhesion properties between asphalt and aggregate due to the adhesive effect of CaO and SiO2 particles in the chemical composition of W-PF as shown in Table 6. This return to the network properties of this material that is working on increasing the cohesion between the asphalt molecules itself, as well as, increases the adhesion between the aggregate particles and asphalt binder. Besides its role in increasing the binder viscosity to W-PF content. Consequently, the asphalt film thickness that coating aggregate increase, which in turn lead to improving the mixture stability. As well, it can be seen that the trend of improvement began to decrease after increasing the amount of W-PF to more than 0.5%. This is due to the porous nature of W-PF to some extent, which works on increasing the absorption of the lightweight molecules of the asphalt binder. Then resulted in a slight reduction in the adhesion properties that in turn reflected on the mixture stability. This

finding is nearly identical to Mojabi et al. However, it is believed that the main reason for redaction is back to increase the air void, As the rate of mixture stability reduced gradually until reached an improvement of not more than 5% at 3.7% MC compared to the B0 mixture. This is attributed to the high surface area of the MC blend that is work on occupying a high amount of asphalt's weight.

The flow of SMA mixtures with varying additives is disclosed in Figure 10. Due to the hardness of the mixtures (increase the binder stiffness), flow values show a noticeable decrease afterthe addition of R-LDPE by approximately 31%. This finding is consistent with Al-Hadidy et al. (2009). Figure 9 also indicates that increasing the W-PF causes the flow value to decrease slightly toabout 36% compared to the B0 mixture. Then begin to increase again by approximately 26% compared to the B0 mixture, as a result of the inverse effect of air void increment. These results agree with that observed by Mojabi et al. The combination of the two modifiers may reduce the ability finitures to flow when loaded lower than the individual case, but show an increment for MC dosage, for the reason same as that mentioned above.

#### **Marshal Modulus**

Generally, all of the modified SMA mixtures provide significant improvements in contrast to control mixture B0. In the case of R-LDPE polymer, it can be seen that adding 3 % R-LDPE results in a 37 % increase in Marshal modulus when compared to the B0 SMA mixture. This means that R-LDPE has a positive effect on improving the resistance of the asphalt mixture to plastic flow effort. This could be due to the 3D-network formation by R-LDPE polymer, in addition to the formation of asphaltene rich phase. Which then works to increase the mixture compatibility and makeit more stable.

Furthermore, the results show that SMA mixtures containing W-PF are more stable. In comparison to the control mixture, the maximum stability was achieved by adding 0.5 %W-PF by approximately 62 % improvement. This behaviour is attributed to the presence of CaO and SiO2 that gains asphalt its rigidity properties. Besides the higher viscosities of W-PF modified asphalt and thenetwork properties of the mentioned modifier.

Furthermore, the results shown in Figure 10 show that when the two modifiers were combined, the rate of mixture stability gradually decreased until it reached 31 % at 3.7 % MC when compared to the control mixture. This is due to the MC blend's high surface area, which is working to absorb a large portion of the asphalt's weight. Reduce the required adhesion between aggregate and asphalt, which contributes to a reduction in mixture stability.

#### V. Conclusion

SMA is a type of pavement mixture that is made up of aggregates, fillers, binders, and stabilizers. To achieve the best mechanical performance, these loose materials are mixed by controlling the volumetric properties which reflect on mechanical properties. Thus, in design and construction practice, volumetric properties are direct indicators. This paper investigated the effects of sustainable materials on the volumetric parameters, besides Marshall Stability, and flow (mechanical performance) of SMA mixtures. However, the following can be concluded as a summary of implementing the methodology of improving the SMA using waste and recycled materials:

1. Bulk densities of modified SMA mixture increase slightly with incorporating 3 % R-LDPE, simultaneously decrease slightly with 0.7% W-PF to about 0.2%, while adding MC decreases it significantly to 3% at 3.7%.

2. Air void for SMA mixtures comprised 3% R-LDPE and 0.3%, 05%, and 0.7% W-PF decrease approximately 17.5 %, 23 %, and 3 %, respectively. Simultaneously the 0.7 % W-PF raises void to 7%, while 3.7 % MC raises void to 40%.

3. Incorporating high doses of W-PF and MC into the SMA mixture results in a 1% and 10% increase in voids in mineral aggregate, respectively, while adding fixing R-LDPE results in a3.1% decrease.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Naveen Kumar R, "Draindown Analysis of Bituminous Mix Modified with Natural Fiber", International Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology (IJIET), Volume 7 Issue 4 December 2016
- [2]. Shaopeng Wu, " Experimental Evaluation of Stone Matrix Asphalt Mixtures Performance Using Blast Oxygen Furnace Steel Slag as Aggregate"
- [3]. NuraUsman, "Support of Asphalt Concrete Mixture utilizing Recycle Polyethylene Terephthalate Fiber", Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Vol 9(46), ISSN (Online): 0974-5645.
- [4]. TEJA TALLAM, "Appraisal of stone mastic black-top execution with the consideration of fiber material on versatile attributes"
- [5]. Mohammad AltafBhat, "Impact of Fillers on Bituminous Mixes", International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and Technology (IJARET), Vol. 3, Issue 2 (April June 2016)

- [6]. Abdul Hamid Ahmad, "Squander Plastic for Road Construction" Feb 17, 2012.
- [7]. Bradley J. Putman and Serji N. Amirkhanian (2004), "Usage of Waste Fiber in Stone Matrix Asphalt Mixtures", Resources, Conservation and Recycling, Volume 42, Issue 3, pp 265-274
- [8]. Bose S., Kamaraj C. what's more, Nanda P.K. (2006), "Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA) A Long Life Pavement Surface", International Seminar on Innovations in Construction and Maintenance of Flexible Pavements, Agra, 2-4 September, Technical Papers, Volume 1, pp 169-17
- [9]. C.E.G. Justo, Dr. A. Veeraragavan Utilization of Waste Plastic Bags in Bituminous Mix for Improved Performance of Roads (2002)
- [10]. Dr. P. K. Jain, "Plastic Waste Modified Bituminous surfacing for provincial Roads" Workshop on Non-Conventional Materials/Technologies Central Road Research Institute, New Delhi ,eighteenth February,(2012)
- [11]. Dr. R. Vasudevan, S.K. Nigam, R. Velkennedy, A. Ramalinga Chandra Sekar, B. Sundarakannan "Usage of Waste Polymers covered Aggregate for Flexible Pavement And simple Disposal of Waste Polymers" Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Solid waste Management, Chennai, India. pp. 105-111, 5-7September (2007)

Suhail Firdous. "Stone Matrix Asphalt's Response to Recycled Low-Density Polyethylene and Waste Paper Fiber." *IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN)*, 13(3), 2023, pp. 01-08.