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I. INTRODUCTION

For any integer m > –p, let \( T_{p,m} \) denote the class of all meromorphic functions \( f(z) \) of the form
\[
f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{r=m}^{\infty} a_rz^r\quad (p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1)
\]
which are analytic and p-valent in the punctured unit disk \( \mathbb{D}^* = \{ z; z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1 \} = \mathbb{D}/\{0\} \).

Now we define the general integral operator \( \chi^p_n(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \) which is as follows
\[
\chi^p_n(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}z^{-\rho-(\frac{\rho}{\alpha})} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}-1} \chi^p_{n-1}(\alpha, \beta)f(t)dt.
\]
(i) If \( n = 0 \) then the above integral operator \( \chi^p_0(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \) converts into \( \chi^p_0(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = f(z) \).
(ii) If \( n = 1 \) then the above integral operator \( \chi^p_1(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \) converts into \( \chi^p_1(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = \chi^p_0(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \). For the sake of convenience, in particular cases
\[
\int_0^z \chi^p_1(\alpha, \beta)f(t)dt = \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) z^{-\rho-(\frac{\rho}{\alpha})} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}-1} \chi^p_0(\alpha, \beta)f(t)dt.
\]
(iii) If \( n = 2 \) then the above integral operator \( \chi^p_2(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \) converts into \( \chi^p_2(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = \chi^p_1(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \). Hence, if \( f(z) \in T_{p,m} \), we obtained the following results
\[
\chi^p_n(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{r=m}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha(r+p)} \right] a_r z^r
\]

Therefore from (3), it is easy to see that
\[
\alpha z \left( \chi^{n+1}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \right) = \beta \chi^{n}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z) - (\alpha p + \beta) \chi^{n+1}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \quad (\alpha > 0)
\]

We observe that (i) \( \chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z) = P^n_p f(z) \) (see, [4]), (ii) \( \chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z) = P^n_p f(z) \) We also see that
\[
\chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z) = \chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z) \quad \chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z) = \chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z) \quad \chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z) = \chi^{n+1}_p(1,1)f(z)
\]

II. DEFINITIONS

Let \( T_{p,m}^{n+1}(\eta, \delta, \mu, \lambda) \) be the class of functions \( f(z) \in T_{p,m} \) which is satisfies the condition
\[
\Re \left( 1 - \lambda \left( \frac{\chi^{n+1}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{\chi^{n+1}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda \frac{\chi^{n+1}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{\chi^{n+1}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \chi^{n+1}_p(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \right) > \eta
\]
where $g(z) \in T_p, fulfill the following condition $\Re \left( e^{\frac{x_p}{y_p}+i(\alpha, \beta)g(z)} \right) > \delta, \delta \in [0, 1)$ \hfill (6)

Here $\mu$ and $\eta$ belongs to real numbers such that $0 \leq \eta < 1, \mu > 0$ and $\lambda \in c$ with $\Re(\lambda) > 0.$

Currently many scholars and researchers studied similar classes for various types of different operators including Aouf and Mostafa [2], Al-Ashwah [6], [7] et al.

III. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

The following lemmas will be required in our present investigation.

Lemma i. \quad Let $\Omega$ be a set in the complex plane $c$ and let the function $\Psi: c^2 \rightarrow c$ satisfy the condition $\Psi(\tau_2, s_1) \notin \Omega$ for all real $\tau_2, s_1 \leq -\frac{1 + \tau_2^2}{2}$. If $q(z)$ is analytic in with $q(0) = 1$ and $\Psi(q(z), zq(z)) \in \Omega, z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $\Re(q(z)) > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$).

Lemma ii. \quad Let $q(z)$ be analytic in disk $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0) = 1$ and if $\alpha \in c/0$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, then $\Re(e^{\alpha} + aq(z)) \geq \lambda, \lambda \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow \Re(q(z)) > \lambda + (1 + \lambda)(\gamma z - 1)$ where $\gamma$ is given by $\gamma = \gamma (\Re(\alpha)) = \int_0^{\gamma} \left( 1 + e^{\Re(\alpha)} \right)^{-1} dt$ which is a function of $\Re(\alpha)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < 1$. The estimate sharp in the sense that bound cannot be improved.

For the complex or a real number $a, b$ and $c$ ($c \neq 0$ and not negative integer) the Gauss hyper geometric function is defined by $2F_1(a, b, c; z) = 1 + z + \frac{ab}{(c+1)}z^2 + \ldots$

The above series converges absolutely for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is an analytic function in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}.

Each of the identities is well known cf.; e.g., [7].

Lemma iii. \quad For a real or complex parameters $a, b$ and $c$ ($c \neq 0$)

$$\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^a \gamma^c dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\pi^{c+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(c)}2F_1(a, b; c; z), \Re(c) > R(b) > 0$$

$$\int (1-t)^a \gamma^c dt = 2F_1(a, b; c; z)$$

$2\Gamma n = 2F_1(1, 1; 2)$

IV. MAIN RESULTS

If it is not mentioned in other sense, let us assume that $(\alpha, \beta) > 0, p \in N, n \in N, \eta \in [-B, A]$.

Theorem i. \quad Let $f(z) \in T_{p,m}(\eta, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ then $\Re\left( e^{\frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)}} \right) > \left( \frac{2\nu\mu}{2\mu + \nu} \right)^{1/2}, g(z) \in T_{p,m}(\eta, \delta, \mu, \lambda)$ \hfill (10)

Proof: \quad Let $\frac{2\nu\mu}{2\mu + \nu}$, and we define the function $q(z)$ given by

$q(z) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\left( \frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)} \right) - \gamma$ \hfill (11)

Then $q(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $q(0) = 1$.

If we consider the function $h(z)$ defined by $h(z) = \left( \frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)} \right) \hfill (12)$

then by our assumption (8), $\Re(\eta) > \delta$.

Differentiating (12) with respect to $z$ and by the (6), we obtain $\frac{(1-\gamma)\eta g(z)}{\nu}$

$$\Rightarrow \left( 1 - \gamma \right) q(z) + \gamma + \frac{a\lambda(1-\gamma)z g(z)h(z)}{\mu \beta}$$

$$= \lambda \left( \frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)} \right) - \frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)} + \frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)} \hfill (13)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( 1 - \gamma \right) q(z) + \gamma + \frac{a\lambda(1-\gamma)z g(z)h(z)}{\mu \beta}$$

$$= \left( 1 - \lambda \right) \frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)} + \lambda \gamma \left( \frac{n^p+1(\alpha, \beta)g(z)}{x_p(a, \beta)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \hfill (14)$$

Again, we consider the function $P(r, s) = \left\{ r - (r - 1)\gamma + \frac{a\lambda(1-\gamma)z g(z)h(z)}{\mu \beta} \right\}$ \hfill (15)

From (15) and the fact that $f(z) \in T_{p,m}(\eta, \delta, \mu, \lambda), \{P(q(z))q(z); z \in \mathbb{D}\} \subset \Omega$
⇒ \{ w ∈ c : \Re(w) > \eta \}. Now for real \( r_2, S_1 ≤ -\frac{1+\eta^2}{2} \), we have
\[ \Re\{\Psi(i r_2, S_1)\} = \Re(\chi z(1−\gamma)αλ + \gamma ≤ -\frac{\delta (1+\eta^2)αλ (1−\gamma)}{2\mu\beta} ≤ -\frac{\lambda (1−\gamma)δ}{2\mu\beta} = \eta. \]
Therefore \( \forall z ∈ \mathbb{D} \setminus \{Ψ(r_2, S_1)\} ∈ \Omega. \)

Thus from the lemma [1], we have \( \Re(q(z)) > 0 \ (z ∈ \mathbb{D}) \) and hence
\[ \Re\left(\chi z^{\eta+1}(α, β) f(z)^{\eta}\right) > \eta \ (z ∈ \mathbb{D}). \]

Theorem ii. Let the function \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) be in the class \( T_{p,m} \) and let the function \( g(z) \) satisfy the condition (7). If \( α ≥ 1 \) and \( \Re\{1 - \lambda)(z^{\eta+1} f(z)^{\eta} + α z^{\eta+1} f(z)) − \eta\} \), \( \Re\{1 - \lambda)(z^{\eta+1} f(z)^{\eta} + α z^{\eta+1} f(z)\} > \eta \) where \( \eta ∈ [0,1] \) and \( (z ∈ \mathbb{D}) \).
\[ \Re\left(\chi z^{\eta+1}(α, β) g(z)^{\eta}\right) > \eta \ (z ∈ \mathbb{D}). \]

Proof: If we set \( \left\{\begin{align*}
χ_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) &= (\lambda - 1)\left(\chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) + (1 - \lambda)\left(\chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) + \chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z))\right)\right) \\
χ_{p}^\eta(α, β, g(z)) &= (2\beta + α\delta) + α(λ - 1)
\end{align*}\right. \)

\( α > 1 \) then the result is obvious.

Theorem iii. Let \( \Re(λ) ≥ 0 \). If \( f(z) \in T_{p,m} \), satisfies the following condition:
\[ \Re\{1 - λ)(z^{p} f(z)^{p} + λ(z^{p} f(z))\} > \eta. \]
Then \( \Re\{1 - λ)(z^{p} f(z)^{p} + λ(z^{p} f(z))\} > \eta. \)

Proof: Let \( q(z) = \{(z^{p} f(z)^{p})\} \) \( (16) \)

Then \( q(z) \) is analytic with the condition \( q(0) = 1 \). Differentiating (16) with respect to \( z \) and using the identity (6), we get \( q(z) \frac{dz}{d\mu} = z^{p} f(z) - \chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) \) \( (\chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) - \chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) \) \( \frac{dz}{d\mu} \) \( (16) \)

Now, by the definition of \( q(z) \), we have \( q(z) + z q(z) \frac{dz}{d\mu} = \chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) - \chi_{p}^\eta(α, β, f(z)) \) \( \frac{dz}{d\mu} \) \( (16) \)

Solving above equation, we get
\[ q(z) + z q(z) \frac{dz}{d\mu} = (1 - λ)(z^{p} f(z)^{p} + λ(z^{p} f(z))\} \frac{dz}{d\mu} \]
By the theorem iii and above corollary $\Re \left[ z^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) \right] = \eta + (1 - \eta)(2\rho_1 - 1) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)$ where $\rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} F_1(1,1,\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + 1,\frac{1}{\beta})$.

Theorem iv. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}_{Pm}$ and $g(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$\Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \left( \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right) \right\} > \eta \cdot$$

if $\Re \left\{ \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} - \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right\} \geq -\delta \frac{(1 - \eta)}{2\beta}$

For some $\eta(0 < \eta < 1)$ then $\Re \left\{ \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right\} > \eta$

and $\Re \left\{ \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right\} > \eta(2\beta + 3\delta)$

Proof: let $(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \eta)} \left( \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} - \eta \right)$, then $q(z)$ is analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0) = 1$. Now, if we setting $\varphi(z) = \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)}$, then form (7), we have $\Re(\varphi(z)) > \delta$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{D}$. A easy calculation show that

$$(1 - \eta)q(z) = \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} - \eta \eta \left( \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right)^\gamma$$

Solving above and using the relation (4), we have $\alpha(1 - \eta)q(z) = \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} - \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} = \varphi(q(z), zq(z))$.

where $\Psi(r, s) = \frac{(1 - \eta)\varphi(z)}{\beta}$

So by our assumption

$$\{\Psi(q(z), zq(z); z \in \mathbb{D}) \} \subset \Omega = \left\{ \omega \in C: \Re(\omega) > -\frac{(1 - \eta)\delta}{2\beta} \right\}$$

$$\Re(\Psi(ir_2, s_1)) = r_1(1 - \eta)\Re(\varphi(z)) \leq -\frac{(1 - \eta)\delta}{2\beta}$$

This is shows that $\Psi(ir_2, s_1) \notin \Omega$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence by the lemma [1] we get $\Re(q(z)) > 0 (z \in \mathbb{D})$. This is the proof of (18). Now for the proof of (19), $\Re \left\{ \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} - \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right\} +

\Re \left\{ \frac{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{\chi^p e^{n_p}(\alpha,\beta)g(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{(1 - \eta)\delta}{2\beta} + \eta$

which is the complete proof of (19).
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