Comparison and Analysis of Simulators for Ad hoc Wireless Networks

Mr. Nirav Bhatt, Dr. Dhaval Kathiriya

1Research Scholar, School of Computer Science, R K University, Rajkot
2Director IT, Anand Agricultural University, Anand

Abstract: Wireless networks are one of the best field for research unlike wired networks. In ad hoc wireless networks to evaluate the performance and behavior of various routing strategies & protocols, simulators are very good compromises between cost and complexities as well as accuracy of the results. Since there are many simulators available for ad hoc wireless networks, it is often difficult to decide which simulator to choose. The paper contains survey about simulators supporting in ad hoc wireless networks. It aims to find a simulator which would help the researchers to develop and analyze various strategies and models in a reliable and easier way. We do not focus on the correlation of the individual simulation results, but try to compare the simulators from feature and usability point of view unlike other simulator comparison.

Index Terms: Ad hoc wireless networks, Simulations, OPNET Modeler, NS-2, J-Sim, OMNET++.

I. INTRODUCTION

During last few years ad hoc wireless networks are becoming more and more popular. A wide spread methodology to evaluate the performance of various routing strategies and models in ad hoc wireless networks is simulation. Simulation is also used for the development of new routing strategies and new network architectures. In order to investigate any characteristics for routing strategies in ad hoc wireless networks, they are usually implemented in network simulator. Behavior of network architectures and routing topologies can be easily studied by setting simulation parameters. Most available network simulation toolkits are based on the paradigm of discrete event-based simulation.

The aim of this survey is to find a wireless network simulator that provides a good balance between features, efficiency, extendibility, accuracy, and easiness of use. Such a simulator will allow researchers to take the steps described above without much has-sle, and allow them to concentrate on their research rather than the simulator. Therefore, this survey contains information about features, abilities, advantages/disadvantages and structures of different network simulators and investigates their feasibility as a research tool. The survey is based on a collection of papers and surveys, some of which compare different network simulators according to defined criteria and some of which analyze simulators’ conformance to a specific project or area.

We are using various parameters to measure the simulator’s result and comparisons[1,7]. Because choices about which internal details of particular routing strategy and parameters used in simulation is particularly difficult for wireless network simulation rather than wired simulation. In wired network over last 30 to 40 years experience of researchers allows significant abstractions. For example point-to-point links are often represented as a simply by bandwidth and a delay with queue, as well as framing and transmission errors. On the other end in ad hoc wireless networking field provides less guidance on what abstractions are appropriate. In ad hoc wireless networks low level details can have large effects on performance of routing strategy. So to study that kind of details and parameters in ad hoc wireless network simulation is the best tool. We are presenting a case study in which commonly used wireless network simulators like NS-2, OPNET Modeler, J-Sim, OMNET++ etc. were used to evaluate the topology control routing strategies. We are also specifying the missing features of each simulator as well as also describe the amount of effort needed for installations, familiarizations, implementations and visualizations from feature and usability point of view. It also gives the descriptions of a list of simulators (specified above) and provides the estimations of their popularities and give some hints on which simulators used for what needs. At the end a table is presented comparing the considered simulators according to their own languages, their modules and whether they have GUI support or not[7,8].

There may be exist some significant variations in the way simulators operate. One cannot state that these variations can be expressed in terms of accuracy. Formally speaking, no network simulator is accurate. At best a simulator can be said to be dependable and realistic. Researchers who drastically need accuracy will want to conduct their experiments on the real devices, using test beds. When this is not possible they will have to resort to simulation and hence to content with a certain level of imprecision. For example the impact of granularity, mobility of nodes, radio propagation models, simulation sizes etc. Because simulators provide software architectures for building a computerized model that include all details of the targeted domain.
II. OPNET MODELER

A finite state machine builds up the core of OPNET (Optimized Network Engineering Tools) Modeler. In combination with the analytical model, which is responsible for speeding up the simulation by using mathematical models that comes along with modeler a compromise solution between speed and accuracy is found. Modelers’ intention was to make diagnostics of a companies network and further should help with the reorganization of it. It comes along with a bunch of predefined functions, protocols, devices and behaviors that make the Modeler a powerful program just from the start up and without big effort. It was initially proposed and developed in 1987 at MIT based on C++ for the simulation purpose.

OPNET Modeler defines a network as a collection of sub-models representing sub-networks or nodes, therefore it employs hierarchical modeling. The topology used in a simulation can be manually created, imported or selected from the pool of predefined topologies[2].

As described above, OPNET simulations are event driven, generally an event is a request for a particular activity to occur at a certain time. It may be a time driven, that means different methods may be to sample at regular intervals but advances when event occurs. An OPNET simulator maintains a single global event list, all objects access shared simulation time clock. Events are scheduled on the list in time order and the first event on the list is considered as the head. All the events have contains the data associated with it and whenever any event completes it is removed from the list. An event becomes an interrupt when it reaches the head of the event list and it is delivered by the simulation kernel to the designated module in the simulator. Data associated with the event can be obtained by the module when the interrupt occurs and certain modules, process and queues are also selected to place initial interrupts on the event list. Simulation Kernel (SK) manages the whole event list, simulation kernel delivers each event in sequence to the appropriate module as well as simulation kernel receives request from the modules and inserts new events on the existing event lists.

Additionally, some possibilities to implement own algorithms are given. Several tools and editors are provided. The principle is to make use of the numerous existing components that are part of OPNET Modeler. Thereby the effort of the developers should decrease, the implementation time should shrink and the number of errors should become less. OPNET Modeler is not open source software and therefore users and companies need to purchase licenses. Because it is a commercial software product, user- friendly interfaces play an important role. A graphical interface (GUI) is provided and a lot of documentation comes along with OPNET Modeler. The cost of the software could be deter many developers, since its open source solutions are available in the market. A vast number of protocol models are available in OMNET Modeler and users can implement their own models. Models are developed in a hierarchical way using a four-level structure. Network level, which is not the OSI layer of the same name, handles topology modeling and overall configuration. Node level deals with internal structures of nodes like transmitters and receivers while functionalities of node level devices are modeled as finite state machines at the process layer. Proto-C layer, being the lowest layer, is where the coding of model behavior takes place in Proto-C language which is an extension of C. The layer contains a large number of kernel procedures available and it allows access to source codes of built in models.

The simulator uses an extension called the OPNET Modeler wireless suit to provide modeling, simulations and analysis of wireless networks. It also offers full protocol stack modeling capabilities with the ability to model all aspects of wireless transmissions e.g. RF propagations, interferences, characteristics of sender/receiver, node mobility, handover etc. OPNET can execute several simulation scenarios in a concurrent manner. It also supports distributed simulations through an additional function called High level architecture which allows communication with various simulation and system in the loop simulation that provides communication to the live hardware and software. OPNET modeler provides various GUI tools like source code editing window, Network model editor, Node model editor, Process model editor, Antenna pattern editor, Packet format editor, Simulation tools and OPNET animation viewer for the result analysis[12]. These all are the main strengths of the simulator though it has several weaknesses, first of all in OPNET modeler accuracy of the results is limited by the sampling resolution and here the simulation is inefficient if nothing happens for a long time periods.
With OPNET’s simulation tool it is possible to combine several low level attributes and make series of simulation iterations.

- The basic simulations with OPNET are done as a function of simulation time.
- Accurate network behavior can be extended to be as detailed as needed.
- Simulation results as a function of time are typically as such not suitable as scientific results, since statistical accuracy is needed.
- A certain situation can be first verified with a simple simulation run, but then several runs should be done with different random generator seed values.
- The typical scientific simulation results are graphs of average statistics drawn from several simulation iterations. As such, the OPNET’s basic analysis tool is not the best tool for drawing graphs like this, but it can be used to collect statistics, and exporting them to a third party software.

Figure 1: Simulation Tool

Figure 2: Running Simulations

Figure 3: A Result Example
III. NS – 2

Network Simulator (NS) – 2 is the second version of a popular network simulator intended for the wired networks. NS – 2 is open source event driven simulator used by researchers, academicians etc. who are working in the domain of wireless network. Simulations of wired as well as wireless network functions and protocols, routing strategies, TCP, UDP etc. can be done by using NS – 2. Due to its flexibility and modular nature, NS2 has gained constant popularity in the networking research community since its birth in 1989. Ever since, several revolutions and revisions have marked the growing maturity of the tool, thanks to substantial contributions from the players in the field.

The first version of ns is known as ns – 1, and was developed by LBNL and was derived from an earlier simulator known as REAL. Then in 1995 version 2 of the simulator was released by MIT group. Although ns-2 was primarily designed for simulating wired networks, it has the ability to simulate wireless networks using the CMU Monarch Project’s Wireless and Mobility Extensions to NS for IEEE 802.11 and several other extensions for Bluetooth. The simulator includes an energy model and it allows user to easily generate traffic and movement patterns. It also provides a set of randomized mobility models and there are several projects to bring advanced mobility models to the simulator which, therefore, bring realistic simulations. For the wireless part, mobile IP is also provided. NS2 provides users with executable command ns which take on input argument, the name of a Tcl simulation script file. Users are feeding the name of a Tcl simulation script (which sets up a simulation) as an input argument of an NS2 executable command ns. In most cases, a simulation trace file is created, and is used to plot graph and/or to create animation. NS2 consists of two key languages: C++ and Object-oriented Tool Command Language (OTcl) [7, 8]. While the C++ defines the internal mechanism (i.e. a backend) of the simulation objects, the OTcl sets up simulation by assembling and configuring the objects as well as scheduling discrete events (i.e., a frontend). The C++ and the OTcl are linked together using TCCL. Mapped to a C++ object, variables in the OTcl domains are sometimes referred to as handles. Conceptually, a handle (e.g., n as a Node handle) is just a string in the OTcl domain, and does not contain any functionality. NS2 provides a large number of built-in C++ objects. It is advisable to use these C++ objects to set up a simulation using a Tcl simulation script. However, advance users may find these objects insufficient. They need to develop their own C++ objects, and use a OTcl configuration interface to put together these objects.

NS – 2 provides OSI layers excluding presentation and session layers. It has a huge pool of available features, offering a large number of external protocols already implemented. Behavior of the simulator is highly trusted within the network community. NS - 2 supports deterministic or probabilistic packet loss in queues attached to network nodes as well as it supports deterministic and stochastic modeling of traffic distribution. It also allows defining disturbances and corruptions that may occur in a simulated network like link interruptions, node stoppage and recovery by modifying scenario scripts. The simulation event scheduler of the simulator, contained in OTcl script interpreter, is either a non real-time scheduler or a real time scheduler which is mainly used for real-time synchronization of an emulated network. The user indicates in the event scheduler when network elements should start or stop transmitting packets. With its emulation facility, ns-2 can be connected to a real network and capture live packets just like a common node. It can also inject packets into the live network. The simulator can generate personalized trace files by allowing users to select parameters to be traced, therefore saves CPU resource [1].

After simulation, NS2 outputs either text-based or animation-based simulation results. To interpret these results graphically and interactively, tools such as NAM (Network AniMator) and X-Graph are used. To analyze a particular behavior of the network, users can extract a relevant subset of text-based data and transform it to a more conceivable presentation. One major weakness of NS – 2 is that, it needs to be recompiled every time whenever there is a change in a user code. So it is required for the user to write his user code in a separate shared library which is linked to the NS – 2 kernel. Second main thing here is that because of lack of scalability it is difficult execution of simulations with more than hundred nodes. NS-2 has a “small suite”, but for large-scale networks several modifications and extra care has to be taken to manage memory allocation and CPU time. n terms of accuracy of bandwidth estimation for the pure CBR-type traffic, NS-2 performed better than OPNET Modeler. NS -2 simulators is properly worked under the platform of GNU/Linux, UNIX, Solaris and Mac OS [13]. We can build NS either from various packages or can download an ‘all – in packages’. The all – in packages provides more features for the simulation specially in wireless scenario but main problem with this is it works better on LINUX / UNIX platforms only.
IV. OMNET++

OMNET++ (Objective Modular Network Testbed in C++) is an extensible, modular, component-based C++ simulation library and framework and primarily for building network simulations. OMNET++ is a discrete event simulation environment. Its primary application area is the simulation of communication networks, but because of its generic and flexible architecture, it is successfully used in other areas like the simulation of complex IT systems, queuing networks or hardware architectures as well. Currently basically two major network simulation model frameworks are present for OMNET++: the Mobility framework and the INET framework. The mobility framework was designed at TU Berlin to provide solid foundations for creating wireless and mobile networks. While the INET framework was evolved from the IP Suite originally developed at the University of Karlsruhe. It provides detailed protocol models for various protocols [1,2]. OMNET++ provides component architecture for models. Components and modules are programmed in C++, and then assembled into larger components and models using a high-level language. Reusability of models comes for free. OMNET++ has extensive GUI support, and due to its modular architecture, the simulation kernel (and models) can be embedded easily into your applications. OMNET++ is public-source, and can be used under the Academic Public License that makes the software free for non-profit use. The motivation of developing OMNET++ was to produce a powerful open-source discrete event simulation tool that can be used by academic, educational and research-oriented commercial institutions for the simulation of computer networks and distributed or parallel systems. OMNET++ attempts to fill the gap between open-source, research-oriented simulation software such as NS-2 and expensive commercial alternatives like OPNET.
Main objective of OMNET++ is to enable large-scale simulation, simulation models are need to be a hierarchical built from the reusable components as much as possible. The OMNET++ simulation software should facilitate visualizing and debugging of simulation models in order to reduce debugging time, which traditionally takes up a large percentage of simulation projects. This simulation software itself should be modular, customizable and should allow embedding simulations into larger network applications. An OMNET++ model consists of modules that communicate with message passing [8]. The active modules are termed simple modules, they are written in C++, using the simulation class library. Simple modules can be grouped into compound modules and so forth, the number of hierarchy levels is not limited. Messages can be sent either via connections that span between modules or directly to their destination modules. Modules can have parameters. Parameters are mainly used to pass configuration data to simple modules, and to help define model topology. Parameters may take string, numeric or Boolean values. Because parameters are represented as objects in the program, parameters – in addition to holding constants – may transparently act as sources of random numbers with the actual distributions provided with the model configuration, they may interactively prompt the user for the value, and they might also hold expressions referencing other parameters. Compound modules may pass parameters or expressions of parameters to their sub-modules [14].
The simulator includes parallel simulation functionality (for executing simulations quicker and being able to model networks made of tens of thousands stations) through the use of either MPI or PVM3 communication libraries. OMNET++ has a well-written fairly large user manual, API documentation and so many tutorials. Since the simulator has a complex structure, careful consultation of the available documentation is needed while working with it. INET Framework and Mobility Framework both has API documentation and Mobility Framework also has a user manual. OMNET++ has extensive GUI support. GNED allows building of network topologies graphically, so it provides easy definition of network simulation scenarios. It can generate topologies as NED files. It also supports interactive execution of the simulation, tracing and debugging. The user is able to start or stop the simulation execution in Tkenv and can change variables or objects inside the model at runtime. It provides the user with a detailed picture of the simulation state at any point of execution. OMNET++ simulator includes the powerful simulation tools and also includes the modules for the Application Layer and Network Layer of OSI model as well as a Network Interface Card module which encapsulates MAC and Physical layers. Physical layer consists of one module for determining SNR characteristics and one module for deciding whether a packet can be passed to upper levels. A Mobility module provides and updates a node’s current position and establishes communication channels.

OMNET++ provides: support for hierarchical models, a graphical editor, GUI-based execution environment (except for nam ), separation of models from experiments, graphical analysis tools, simulation library features such as multiple RNG streams with arbitrary mapping and result collection, seamlessly integrated parallel simulation support, etc which all are not available in other simulators.

V. J-Sim

J-Sim (formally known as Java Sim) is a network simulator written in JAVA. It is build according to the component - based software paradigm. It provides compositional simulation environment developed by a team at the Distributed Real Time Computing Laboratory of the Ohio State University and by Illinois University. It has been built on the autonomous component architecture (ACA), which mimics the integrated circuit design and manufacturing model. Everything in J-Sim is component like a node, a link, a protocol.

Connection between component is done through ports. Actually there are three possible ways to connect the ports: one-to-one, one-to-many and many-to-many.

J-Sim is not used often in research works, so some can may arrives a question about the validity of its models. The component of J-Sim contracts and that describes how a component responds to data that arrives at each of its ports. Components are able to handle data in an execution context on their own, so that they can be designed, implemented and tested separate from the rest of the system. One good feature of J-Sim is to set flags for components which provides the better options for the enable, disable and display. Using flags, failures of nodes or protocols or links may be specify by simply disabling them.

In J-sim, execution contexts are implemented by Java threads with the thread scheduler of the JAVA Virtual Machine scheduling and thread machine. Therefore the simulations a simulator runs in the same manner as the system run. Event execution can be carried out in real time so that the interactions and interferences among event executions take place as in real systems. That is improves the fidelity of the simulation. These simulators have a good introductory material with overviews and examples for small scenarios but lacks a comprehensive manual. J-Sim distinguishes two layers basically, the lower layer Core Service Layer (CSL) comprises every OSI layers from physical to network, while the higher layer comprises the remaining OSI layers [15].

For wireless network simulations, J-Sim offers the several components and their relationship is defined and extends the general CSL. Fig. gives an overview of the most important components. The only available MAC layer component in the Wireless Extension is 802.11 MAC. When a node wants to send a message, it goes through 802.11 MAC which decides when the packet is sent to the Wireless Physical media. The latter determines the nodes current position from the Mobility Model and adds that position, the current transmission power and the antenna gain to the MAC frame. The receiving node’s Wireless Physical layer consults with the Radio Propagation Model to decide if the packet should be passed to the MAC or not. The Energy Model is a collection of five energy consumption values (radio states send, receive, idle and off). When the energy is depleted, no packets can be sent or received by anyone.
V. CONCLUSION

In this survey we have been described different network simulators for ad hoc wireless network, also describing their strengths, weakness and features. The purpose for that is to find a wireless network simulator that would provide a researchers with an efficient and easy to use development environment to benefit in their research work. As seen from the survey the simulators have long listing of features but none of the simulator that can support all of them. So what had been searched for here is that, find a balanced simulator that would offer a decent user experience.

From above descriptions and conclusions drawn in various reference papers, it can be concluded that ns-2 is the best choice out of them for the research work. OMNET++ is also has various good features, but ns-2 is the most popular simulator in the academic research. Actually ns-2 has some complicated structure and difficult to understand but large use of community makes ns-2 easier to use, because lots of people helping each other with their problems through the mailing and forums. OMNET++ basically increased popularity in industrial work rather than academic research work. OMNET++ has a well designed simulation engine and supports hierarchical modeling, so it’s a better for the development unlike ns-2.
OMNET++ also provide a strong GUI unlike ns-2. But OMNET++ lacks the large quantity (loads) for external models and users that is possible easily in ns-2.
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