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Abstract:- In India, masonry infilled concrete frame is one in every of the foremost common structural system. The simplicity of construction and extremely developed experience have created the infilled frame most speedy and economical structural kind for concrete buildings. Masonry infills functioning principals are partitions and exterior walls. Different types of infill materials have different properties and also have a different performance towards the behaviour of the structure. The performance on the basis of the response of the structure increases and decreases with respect to the weight and durability of the infill in bare frame and soft storey conditions.
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I. Introduction

In present construction the reinforced cement concrete is most widely used material in the world. A bare frame of RCC building consist of many vertical and horizontal component such as beam, slab and vertical component such as column and walls which are underside of slabs. All these structures are cast at a time and are called as monolithic R.C. frame structure. The opposition of lateral load and gravity load ie. Dead and live load which can contributed by the combined action of slab, beam and columns.

The ductile property shall be provided for the R.C. structures which are contributed to the earthquake zones. In vertical plane the walls are constructed with beam and columns at the required locations in structures. The most commonly used brick infill is conventional brunt clay brick masonry. Along with this or with the combination of light weight bricks such as autoclaved aerated concrete bricks and hollow concrete blocks are used.

1.1 Conventional Brick Infill Structures

In the world most commonly used infill materials is brick masonry included in regions of Earthquake zone. Reinforced concrete building with infill walls are analyzed and design as bare frame neglecting strength contribution and infill stiffness. The infill acting along with the response of structure behaves different form the anticipated for the building without infill.

The lateral force resisting capacity and stiffness of structure can be increased by infill upto same level of response. The initial time period of structure decrease because of increase in initial stiffness of structure. The infill with brick masonry is verge to brittle failure for evaluation of seismic. The infill wall modelling should be proper to reduce the damage and consequences for proper solution of retrofit.

1.2. Autoclaved Aerated Concrete Block infill Structure

In the present practice of construction the architects, designers, engineers and owners prefer the eco-friendly and green building materials. AAC material now a day’s used as a replacement of conventional brick and AAC is most commonly used eco-friendly material. It is light weight, durable, high insulating, and load bearing material hence it is used as a infill materials in walls. It improves the construction practice quality and decrease construction cost. The dead load is reduced by the use of AAC and thus reduce the seismic design base shear of the structure.

Today AAC materials are a revolutionary precast and have high durability and high strength, lower in weight and eco-friendly. AAC is used to replace the ordinary clay bricks and fly ash bricks. It can be adopted in all types of walls, internal or external, load bearing and non load bearing walls etc.

II. Literature Review

Kashif Mahumad, Md. Rashadul Islam and Md. Al-Amin carried out the work on ‘Study of the Reinforced Concrete Frame with Brick Masonry infill due to lateral loads’ in that the behaviour of reinforced concrete frame with brick infill masonry have been studied for various parametric changes in models and...
observed the influence in deformation in pattern of the frame. Generally masonry infill panels are widely used as exterior as well as interior partition walls for aesthetic and functional reasons. When these infill walls are omitted in a particular storey, a soft storey is formed compared to other stiffer stories. The present study aimed to find out the effect of soft storey in frame structure due to horizontal loading. In cases where wind and earthquake loads are applied, if number of bay increases, then the deflection gradually decreases. As the storey level of the building increases, deflection occurred due to lateral loads naturally gets increases due to additional lateral loads.

Dorji and D.P. Thambiratnam carried out the work on ‘Modeling Analysis of Infilled Frame Structures under seismic loads’ in this the seismic response of infilled frame structures have been studied. Infilled frame structures are commonly used in seismically active areas. It stated that the present codes unfortunately, didn’t have adequate guidance for treating the modelling, analysis and design of infilled frame structures. Finite Element time history analysis under different seismic conditions have been carried out and the influence of infill strength, openings and soft storey phenomenon have been investigated. Results calculated in terms of tip deflection, fundamental period, interstorey drift ratio and stresses and these were useful in the seismic design of infilled frame structure.

Kodur, V.R.; Erki, M.A.; Quenneville, J.H.P. carried out the work on ‘Seismic Design and analysis of masonry infilled frames’ in which a simple analytical procedure is done for seismic design of masonry infilled frames is presented. The analytical procedure, based on the analytical and experimental studies in the literature, for the effect of infills in all three stages, they are, in computing seismic loadings, in predicting response of the infilled frames, and in determining the strength of infilled frames. The seismic loading is computed using the dynamic properties of the structure. Related recommendations regarding the choice of infilled frames, structural damping ratio, earthquake design spectrum, irregularity in structure, and computational aids.

B. Srinivas and B.K. Raghu Prasad discussed the effect of masonry infill walls on dynamic behaviour of structure. A five storey RC masonry infilled frames, soft storey frames and bare frame model were selected and designed as per IS 1893 code provision. Diagonal Strut were used for modelling the masonry infill panels. Non-Linear Static and Non-Linear dynamic analysis were performed to study the response behaviour of the building. The storey drift decreases due to the presence of masonry infill walls but the storey drift of the soft storey were significantly large. This effect however was not found to be significant in bare frame model.

Basavaraj M. Malagimani, Swapnil B. Cholekar, Hemant L. Sonawadekar. Carried out the work on ‘Comparative study of RC structure with different types of infill walls with effect of SSI by Pushover Analysis’ in which the study of analysis is done on different infills (conventional brick infill, concrete block infill, hollow block infill and light weight brick infill)and SSI on the behaviour of RC structure. The analysis is been carried out using Non-Linear analysis, with code specified design response spectrum, using ETABS. It is found that the larger the mass of the structure larger will be the seismic force acting on structure. Hence the light weight brick model gives lesser seismic force as compared with other infill materials. Conventional bricks have larger base shear, lateral forces and storey shear as compared to light weight infill which has the smallest base shear and which reduces the reinforcement to resist member forces. Hence reduction in the overall cost of construction.

Kumbhar S.S., Rajguru R.S. carry out the work on ‘Seismic Analysis of Masonry Infill in Multi-Storey RC Building’ in this a fourteen storey RC frame building model was studied including bare frame, infill frame and open fist storey frame and infill materials of brick and AAC blocks. The parameter which were studied were time period, base shear and storey drift. It was found that infill does not take into account for analysis, but the infill affects on the increase of ductility, stiffness and the flexural strength of the member.

O.Netula, S.P. Singh, R. Bhomia carried out the work of ‘Study and Comparison of Structure having different infill materials (Bricks, AAC blocks and Hollow Concrete Blocks) using ETABS’ In this the analysis of different infill materials as conventional brick masonry, AAC block masonry and hallow concrete masonry with four models that compars bare frame model (M-1), infilled frame (M-2), Open ground Storey frame (M-3) and closed soft ground storey (M-4). Analysis was done by seismic coefficient method. It was found that the AAC block masonry and hallow concrete masonry perform superior to that of brick masonry therefore AAC block and hallow concrete masonry can be used to replace the conventional Brick masonry which is usually used in India in seismic prone area. It also concluded that seismic analysis should be performed by considering the infill walls in analysis. Due to presence of infill wall, stiffness of the reinforced concrete frame increases and decreases in displacement, storey drift will occur.

Dev Raj Paudel, Santosh Kumar Adhikari. Carried out the work ‘Effect of Masonry Infills on Seismic Performance or RC Frame Buildings’ the study considers the seismic performance or RC framed buildings with infill, including set of six and ten storey building with different infill configuration. From the study it has been observed that masonry infill have significant effect of dynamic characteristics, strength, stiffness and seismic performance of buildings. Fundamental period of vibration was lower for fully infill model and higher for bare frame model. The time period given by IS 1893:2002 vary largely to those obtain from
modal analysis. Axial forces on columns have increased and bending moment has decreased due to inclusion of infill in the frames. With increase in height of building the stress in inffills are increased for same dimensions of infill panel.

III. Conclusion
Although different researches from different paper states that infill materials on seismic condition have a vast effect on the responsive behavior of the structure like conventional bricks have very large storey drift as compared to light weight inffills have have considerably affected the durability and stiffness of the building. Moreover it was also found that AAC and hollow bricks have good response to the structure due its light weight and more durability than the conventional infill materials.
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